



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/788,316	02/16/2001	E. Neil Lewis	S0001-009002	S0001-009002 7045	
7:	590 09/10/2002				
Kristofer E. Elbing			EXAMINER		
187 Pelham Island Road Wayland, MA 01778			LAVARIAS, ARNI	, ARNEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
		DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AX.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/788,316	LEWIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Arnel C. Lavarias	2872				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sh t with th	correspond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i> Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to <b>by the Exa</b>	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)	• •					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal f	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
DAMAGE TO A OF						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-38, 40-58, drawn to a spectrometer and spectrometry method including an array of illumination sources, a detector, and a spectroscopic signal output, classified in class 356, subclass 326.
  - II. Claims 59-63, drawn to an optical instrument and optical method including a plurality of optical conductors and an array detector, classified in class 356, subclass 227.11.
  - III. Claim 39, drawn to a spectrometer including an array of detector elements and a plurality of optical conductors and an array detector, classified in class 356, subclasses 326 and 227.11.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as in a multiplexed fiber optical communications system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions III and I/II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that

Art Unit: 2872

the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination can use a materially different spectrometer or optical instrument. The subcombination (I) has separate utility such as optical beam profiling. The subcombination (II) has separate utility such as a multiplexed fiber optical communications system.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. The Applicant is advised that if Invention III is elected, Claim 39 must be rewritten in independent form for the purpose of examination.
- 8. A telephone call was made to Kristofer E. Elbing (508-358-2590) on 9/6/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of

the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 703-305-4007. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on 703-308-1687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Arnel C. Lavarias September 6, 2002

Thong Nguyen
Primary Examiner